


**What is an SRD?**

After evaluating the proposals and selecting an Awardee, the Task Order Contracting Officer’s Representative (COR) prepares a Selection Recommendation Document (SRD) describing the review process and providing a rationale for recommendation of the Awardee. **All proposals submitted must be fully evaluated.**

The Task Order Contracting Officer (CO) reviews and approves the SRD before sending it to the LTASC III Support Team for concurrence. To facilitate this step of the task order process, the LTASC III Support Team developed this SRD template.

The SRD must include

* the selection criteria/methodology for evaluating submitted proposals as originally defined in the TORP package;
* a list of the contractors that responded to the TORP; and
* rationale for the recommendation of the task order Awardee, including a summary of evaluation results, any negotiations conducted, price analysis, and award analysis.



**Time to submit for review!**

The Task Order CO uploads the SRD in the electronic Government Ordering System (eGOS) 'Selection' screen and submits it to the LTASC III Support Team for review and concurrence. **The Task Order CO cannot process the award until concurrence is received.**

Upon concurrence, the Task Order CO processes the award document (OF-347) and uploads the signed award document to the "Selection" screen. The Task Order CO will then resubmit the selection, with the SRD and the OF-347 attached - to the LTASC III Support Team. Please be sure to capture the FPDS report for the Task Order CO task order file.

The LTASC III Support Team sends the awardee an award letter along with the award document provided by the Task Order CO. **Contractors are not to begin work unless they receive the award document from the LTASC III Support Team**. In addition, the LTASC III Support Team approves the selection in eGOS which triggers the release of an award notice to all the contractors informing them of the award.

Questions about the SRD template or any other aspect of the task order process should be directed to the LTASC III Program Support Team ([LTASCIII@mail.nih.gov](mailto:LTASCIII@mail.nih.gov)).

# Task Order Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Task Order (TO) Title: | Task Order Title |
| Task Order Request Package (TORP) Number: | #XXXX |
| Date Evaluation Completed: | Click here to enter a date. |
| Requesting Institute/Center: | Enter Text Here |
| TO Period of Performance: | Enter Dates Here |

# Contractors' Response Information

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Contractor Name** | **Contract Number** | **Proposal Submitted** | **No Response Statement Submitted** |
| Advanced Automation Technologies, Inc. | 75N98023D00015 |  |  |
| Allied Technologies & Consulting | 75N98023D00016 |  |  |
| Ardelle Associates, Inc. | 75N98023D00017 |  |  |
| BETAH Associates, Inc. | 75N98023D00018 |  |  |
| BG-DSI Joint Venture, LLC | 75N98023D00019 |  |  |
| Brandan Enterprises, Inc. (BEI) | 75N98023D00020 |  |  |
| Chickasaw Strategic Pointe, LLC | 75N98023D00021 |  |  |
| Chiricahua-Logical JV, dba C-L JV | 75N98023D00022 |  |  |
| Contemporaries, Inc | 75N98023D00023 |  |  |
| Destiny Management Services, LLC | 75N98023D00024 |  |  |
| EDJ Associates, Inc | 75N98023D00025 |  |  |
| Fidelity Decypher Services, LLC (FDS) | 75N98023D00026 |  |  |
| Global Consulting Services of MD | 75N98023D00027 |  |  |
| Hedgelan Consulting, LLC | 75N98023D00028 |  |  |
| Laredo Technical Services, Inc. | 75N98023D00034 |  |  |
| MPZA, LLC | 75N98023D00029 |  |  |

# Recommended Contractor

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Contractor Name: | Choose an item. |
| Contractor Address: | Choose an item. |
| Please type in the address if different than above:  Enter Text Here | |
| **Point of Contact** | |
| Name: | Contractor Point of Contact Name |
| Phone Number: | (XXX) XXX-XXXX |
| Fax Number: | (XXX) XXX-XXXX |
| E-Mail Address: | name@company.com |

# Documentation of Award Decision

1. Was the TORP announced to all prime contractors? If not, confirm that an exception to the Fair Opportunity rule was cited in the TORP and cite below the exception used.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Yes |
|  | No |

Enter Text Here

2. List the selection criteria/methodology used to evaluate the competing prime contractors.   
**Note**: The selection criteria/methodology must match what was listed in the original TORP package.

Enter Text Here

3. Provide rationale for the recommendation of the task order Awardee including a narrative summarizing the evaluation results for each contractor's submission. The narrative should include specific details regarding any negotiations conducted and price analysis. **Note:** Feel free to reference attachments if you already have a justification written as part of your evaluation.

Enter Text Here

4. Summarize the price/cost proposed by the selected Awardee. Enter the value for the administrative cost and management cost for each contract period and **press the arrow keys or tab key to move to the next field.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Contract Period | Administrative Cost | Management Cost | Total Cost |
| Base Year | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| Option Year 1 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| Option Year 2 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| Option Year 3 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| Option Year 4 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| TOTAL | $ 0.00 | $ 0.00 | $0.00 |